#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	ידיו אַר											
To: STACEY C. SLATER KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER, SUITE		XX/I	PCT RITTEN OPINION OF THE									
121 SW SALMON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97204		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY										
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)									
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	19 AUG 2009									
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION									
7207-68336-02		See paragraph 2 below										
International application No. International filing date		(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)										
PCT/US05/05971	25 February 2005 (25.02	. 2005)	26 February 2004 (26.02.2004)	-								
International Patent Classification (IPC) o	r both national classificati	on and IPC	10/1/2									
IPC(7): B32B 21/04 and US Cl.: 428/537.	1		12/26/05									
Applicant		(1	DOCKETED FOR:									
BOISE WHITE PAPER, L.L.C.												
			COMPLITER ///									
1. This opinion contains indications rela	ting to the following item:	J.	- / / /									
Box No. I Basis of the	oninion		_									
	op.lee											
	rnonty											
Box No. III Non-establis	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability											
Box No. IV Lack of unit	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention											
No.	BOOK SCAN CC:  dishrment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability nity of invention statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial tity; citations and explanations supporting such statement securents cited fects in the international application servations on the international application					o. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited												
Box No. VII Certain defe												
Box No. VIII Certain obser	rvations on the internation	nal application										
2. FURTHER ACTION												
International Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") exe ne IPEA and the chosen I	cept that this does PEA has notified th	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered.									
	appropriate, with amenda spiration of 22 months fro	nents, before the exp	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.									
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US		Authorized officer										
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		leszek b. kiliman	$\sim 1$									
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	, Atm: ISA/US leszek b. kiliman											

Facsimile No.
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

## EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EV668296870US DATE OF DEPOSIT: August 24, 2006

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT/US05/05971

International application No.

Box No. I Basis of this opinion						
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material						
a sequence listing						
table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material						
in written format						
in computer readable form						
c. time of filing/furnishing						
contained in international application as filed.						
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additional comments:						
·						
Orr DCT/ISA/227/Dov No. D. (Januari 2004)						

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EV668296870US DATE OF DEPOSIT: August 24, 2006

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/05971

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1. Statement								
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE		YES				
	Claims	1-38		NO				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE		YES				
	Claims			NO				
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-38		YES				
				NO				
aims 1-38 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) a ferences teach a paper product having a base shee aims 1-38 lack an inventive step under PCT Arti- ferences teach a paper product having a base shee aims 1-38 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article	et and the markin cle 33(3) as bein it and a marking-	g-erasable coating that is w g obvious over Maihotra'63 erasable coating where the	ater-soluble. 7 or Takahashi'645. coating is water solub	The applied le.				
made or used in industry.								
			•					
			•					

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

### The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where onginally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to 11.
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in "Claims I to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.

#### "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments enay be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

### It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

## Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for in at the time of thing any amenuments and any accompanying statement, under Afficie 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submutted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing, the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Exercises. Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

# Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's amention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.